A spiny distribution: new data from Berg Aukas I (middle Miocene, Namibia) on the African dispersal of Erinaceidae (Eulipotyphla, Mammalia).
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Abstract: Material of Erinaceidae (Eulipotyphla, Mammalia) from Berg Aukas I (late middle Miocene, Namibia) is described. Originally identified as belonging to the gymnure Galerix, the specimens from Berg Aukas I are herein attributed to the hedgehog Amphechinus cf. rusingensis, and they represent the last known occurrence of Amphechinus in Africa. Its persistence in Northern Namibia may have been favoured by its generalist palaeoecology and the heterogeneous aridification of southern Africa during the middle Miocene. In addition, an update of the data acquired on African Erinaceidae is provided: a migration of the Galericinae to southern Africa is no longer supported; all attributions of African middle Miocene to Pliocene material to the genus Galerix are considered to be improbable; at least two migratory waves of Schizogalerix are recognized in northern Africa with S. cf. anatolica in the late middle Miocene (Pataniak 6, Morocco) and S. aff. macedonica in the late Miocene (Sidi Ounis, Tunisia).
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Introduction

While the family Erinaceidae (Eulipotyphla, Mammalia) is a frequent element of European and Asian Miocene faunas, little is known about the scarce African fossil record. Naturally, several factors have to be considered: the Palaeogene isolation of Africa, the highly heterogeneous distribution of known localities, the presence of already established insectivorous groups (e.g. Chrysochloridae, Tenrecidae) and the usual scarcity of Erinaceinae in small mammal deposits. Despite this, the suspected Miocene lineage Gymnurechinus-Mellalechinus suggests that Asian migrants evolved into better-adapted forms during their short stay in Africa.

The Otavi Mountains in Northern Namibia, yielded a rich quantity of fossiliferous cave breccias and fissure fillings with abundant remains of small mammals (Conroy et al. 1992; Senut et al. 1992; Rosina & Pickford, 2019; Peláez-Campomanes et al. 2020).

Unexpectedly, Eulipotyphla are poorly-represented. The only mentioned Erinaceidae is a specimen from Berg Aukas I (breccia block 63, extracted in 1991; middle Miocene) attributed to the well-known genus Galerix, whose subfamily had never previously been encountered in southern Africa. Another specimen, discovered in the breccia block 52 extracted in 1994, was considered to belong to the same species.

The occurrence of a Galericinae named Galerix africanaus during the early Miocene of Eastern Africa (Butler, 1956, 1984) followed by the report of Galerix in Namibia (Conroy et al. 1992; Senut et al. 1992) led Butler (2010) to consider that Galerix may have dispersed throughout Africa. Since this genus already has a broad distribution in Europe and Asia (Van den Hoek Ostende, 2001), the first aim of the present note was to investigate the potential spread of Galerix in Africa.

Material and Methods

The material described here corresponds to two specimens extracted from breccias at the Namibian hominoid-bearing locality Berg Aukas I (19°30’58”S, 18°15’10”E), during expeditions led in 1991 and 1994. The geological context was thoroughly described by
Pickford & Senut (2002, 2010), in which the oldest level of the palaeocave infilling at Berg Aukas I is estimated to date between 13 Ma and 12 Ma.

Engesser (1980) is followed for terminology and Prieto et al. (2010) for the measurement method of length (L), mesial width (W1) and distal width (W2). Measurements were obtained with a binocular LEICA MZ16 A, a digital camera LEICA DFC420, two optical lights sources LEICA CLS 150X, and the program Leica Application Suite (v. 4.5.0). Drawings were obtained with the software Autodesk SketchBook (v. 8.7.1). Specimens are figured in left orientation and housed at the National Earth Science Museum, Geological Survey of Namibia, Windhoek, Namibia.

Systematic Palaeontology

Order Eulipotyphla Waddell, Okada & Hasegawa, 1999

Family Erinaceidae Fischer, 1814

Subfamily Erinaceinae Fischer, 1814

Genus Amphechinus Aymard, 1850

Type species: *Amphechinus arvernensis* (Blainville, 1839)

Species *Amphechinus cf. rusingensis* Butler, 1956

Partial synonymy: -
1992 *Galerix* sp. - Conroy et al.
1992 *Galerix* sp. - Senut et al.

Type locality: - Hiwegi Formation, locality R.1, Rusinga Island, Kenya; early Miocene.

Original diagnosis: - A species of *Amph- echinus* that differs from *A. edwardsi* (Filhol) in the following characters: size smaller (C1/-M3/ inclusive: 13.2-13.5 mm); C1/ and P2/-p/2 less reduced; P2/ with two roots; buccal edge of M1/ slightly longer than that of P4/; M2/ slightly larger in proportion to M1/ (length M2/ - length M1/: 0.82) (Butler, 1956, p. 54).

Material and measurements: - BA 63°91, right P4/ (L=3.28, W1=2.68, W2=3.39); BA 52°94, right m/1 (L=3.12, W1=2.01, W2=2.01).

Berg Aukas MM1, Otavi Mountains, Namibia; late middle Miocene (13-12 Ma) (Fig. 1).

Description

The P4/ has a slightly S-shaped buccal margin because of a rounded parastyle and a curved and elongated metastyle. The paracone is a strong, upright cusp with a subtriangular base. From it starts a high and sharp metaloph reaching the pointed bucco-distal border. The metacone is distinguishable at the center of the crest only by a more worn aspect. A parastyle is attached to the mesio-buccal base of the paracone, without being connected to it by a crest. The distal border of the premolar is concave. The circular protocone is the tallest cusp of the lingual extension of the tooth. A thin bucco-lingual crest is present at the buccal base of this cusp. Another thin ridge is found between the protocone and the small rounded hypocone, which is situated more lingually. A barely distinguishable bulge is also present at the disto-lingual border of the premolar, from which starts a short mesial cingulum. This cingulum is stopped by a distinct notch situated lingually to the metaloph wall. Very narrow cingula are visible at the lingual and mesial base of the metaloph.

The trigonid of the first lower molar is slightly longer than the talonid. The paraconid
is the smallest cuspid of the trigonid. Mostly included in the paralophid, it is still distinguishable at the mesio-lingual corner of the tooth. The paralophid is a two-segmented crest connecting the paraconid to a triangular protoconid, the strongest cuspid of the molar. The metaconid has a circular base, smaller dimensions than the protoconid and is situated in a slightly more mesial position than the latter cuspid. Two thin ridges start from the median side of both cuspids, ending at the border of the trigonid distal wall without touching each other. The trigonid basin is open on its lingual side and is characterised by three valleys, the largest one having an almost bucco-lingual orientation. The talonid is much lower than the trigonid. The subtriangular hypoconid is the smallest cuspid of the tooth. The smooth cristid obliqua is almost parallel to the lingual margin. The triangular entoconid is shorter than the paraconid. A weak hypolophid connects the two distal cusps while a thin ridge joins the base of the entoconid to the base of the metaconid (there is no entocristid). This has led to a continuous closure of the deep and squared talonid basin. The postcingulid is large and connected to the entoconid without being in contact with the hypolophid. A narrow but continuous cingulid is present on the buccal margin.

Figure 1. Interpretive line drawings of *Amphichinus* cf. *rusingensis* from Berg Aukas I. A-B) P4/ (BA 63’91, reversed). C-D) m/1 (BA 52’94, reversed). A, C) occlusal, B) lingual, D) buccal views (scale: 1mm).

**Comparisons**

While the species of Galericinae are known by their rounded and molarized P4/, the material from Berg Aukas I present a more angular shape with an elongated and sharp metaloph, which is the usual pattern found in Miocene Erinaceinae. A disto-lingual bulge is sometimes found in deciduous P4/ of Galericini (Ziegler, 1983), but the outline of this tooth is much more irregular than the described specimen. The distinctive notch present at the medio-distal margin (“anteroposteriory compressed lingual region”, according to Butler, 1984:146) is usually found in *Amphichinus*, and is more pronounced than in the European *A. baudeloti*. This notch is not similar to the constriction of the lingual area observed in *Mellalechinus salis*. There are no significant differences from the material of *A. rusingensis* from the Sperrgebiet (Mein & Pickford, 2008).

The two-segmented paralophid in m/1 is similar to that of Galericinae and *Amphichinus*, while *Gymnurechinus leakeyi* has a curved crest (Butler, 1984). The talonid of m/1 is lower and simpler than in Miocene Galericini. The postcingulid-entoconid connection is found in *Gymnurechinus*, *Mellalechinus* and *Amphichinus*, but also occurs in derived lineages of Galericini (Van den Hoek Ostende, 2001). The trigonid of the m/1 represents 58% of the total length of the tooth, while representing 60%-63% in *Amphichinus rusingensis* described by Butler.
The first lower molar of *Mellalechinus salis* described by Lavocat (1961) is less compact, with a more stretched and open trigonid, as in *Gymnurechinus*. Moreover, the hypoconid of m/1 is situated in a more buccal position in *A. rusingensis* than in *M. salis*.

The dimensions of the two Berg Aukas specimens do not differ from the morphometric range of the early Miocene *Amphechinus rusingensis*. The size increase observed in the material from Arrisdrift (Mein & Pickford, 2003) is not present in the sample from Berg Aukas. Because it is not possible to compare the Berg Aukas I material with the fossils of *Amphechinus* sp. from Fort Ternan, Kenya (13.7 Ma; Butler, 1984) and the lack of adequate diagnostic features, the two specimens from Namibia are attributed to a young form named *Amphechinus cf. rusingensis*.

**Discussion**

**Palaeoecology of Amphechinus**

The presence of *Amphechinus* at Berg Aukas I occurred at a time of global aridification. While environments where humid and forested during the early Miocene, the hyperarid Namib Desert emerged at the beginning of the middle Miocene (Pickford & Senut, 2002) which probably favoured the success of grazing mammals in southern Africa earlier than in the North-Eastern part of the continent (Pickford, 2008). The desertification of southern Africa was however heterogeneous and the Kalahari-Otavi area seems to have maintained more humid conditions (Senut et al. 2009; Rosina & Pickford, 2019), helping the preservation of less adapted forms during the middle Miocene.

A clear evolutionary history of *Amphechinus* is difficult to establish since the generic attribution of several species cannot be fully supported (Ziegler, 2005; Van Dam et al. 2020). At least, *Amphechinus* is for now recognized in Asia, Europe, Africa and North America. This suggests that the generalist eco-morphology of this genus allowed it to prosper in a lot of environments during the Oligocene and the Miocene. For instance, the North-American occurrences (Martin & Lim, 2004) coincide with the estimated immigration of several other Asian Eulipotyphla (Rich, 1981) usually considered as inadapted to cold environments, while European forms persisted even after the middle Miocene cooling event with *A. golpae* and *A. robinsoni*. Also, *Amphechinus* is identified in the well-known Spanish fossil record slightly before 16 Ma and survived there although the conditions became drier (Van Dam et al. 2020). This ubiquity could explain the longer persistence of *Amphechinus* in southern Africa compared to other Erinaceidae.

**Overview of the African fossil record of Erinaceidae**

*Amphechinus* is found in the Miocene of Africa with two other Erinaceinae: *Gymnurechinus* and *Mellalechinus* (previously *Protechinus* Lavocat 1961; see Zijlstra, 2012). Based on the comparisons of Lavocat (1961), *Mellalechinus* probably emerged from an undiscovered *Gymnurechinus*. However, the paucity of the fossil record means that this idea needs to be treated with caution. The first occurrences of *Amphechinus rusingensis*, *Gymnurechinus* and *Galerix africanus* in the Kenyan Koru and Legetet Formations (Fig. 2) are explainable by a single wave of dispersal, before 20 Ma. As for *Galerix africanus* and *Gymnurechinus*, the ancestral shape of *Amphechinus rusingensis* suggests a non-European ancestor. The only African representative of *Amphechinus* is mostly found in the early Miocene but survived at least until the early middle Miocene (Mein & Pickford, 2003; Butler, 2010). While the material from Berg Aukas I confirms the survival of a form close to *A. rusingensis* in the late middle Miocene, the middle Miocene *Amphechinus* sp. from Fort Ternan indicates some morphological diversity and seems to highlight the acquisition of new characters in the African lineage.

From the middle Miocene to the early Pliocene, several occurrences of Erinaceidae are reported on the coastal side of northern Africa (Fig. 2). Almost all available data have been compiled by Stoetzel (2013) with the exception of the significant contribution of Engesser (1980). A large part of Erinaceidae occurrences are identified in the literature as *Galerix* and *Schizogalerix*, but since all the
described material show affinities with *Schizogalerix* (Engesser, 1980; Robinson et al. 1982; Ameur, 1984) the presence of the early to middle Miocene genus *Galerix* in the late Miocene and Pliocene of Africa is more than improbable. These materials attributed to *Galerix* should now be considered as “*Galerix*” indet. (or even as Galericinae indet.) since they probably correspond to *Schizogalerix*. Lavocat (1961) identified an incisor (or canine) from Beni Mellal (Morocco, middle Miocene) as belonging to *?Galerix*, and considered another incisor to belong to *Palaeoerinaceus* (=*Amphechinus*). It is now well known that such isolated antemolar teeth do not allow precise identification, especially since Beni Mellal is the type-locality of *Mellalechinus*, whose dental variability is unknown. These doubtful identifications are thus not followed here. The last occurrence of the enigmatic *Mellalechinus* (*Mellalechinus* cf. *salis*) coincides with the first occurrence of Galericinae in the Moroccan late middle Miocene locality of Pataniak 6 (Stoetzel, 2013) based on an isolated M2/ attributed to the Galericini *Schizogalerix* cf. *anatolica* by Engesser (1980).

**Figure 2.** Updated distribution of Erinaceinae and Galericinae during the Miocene and Pliocene of Africa. *Amphechinus* is represented by squares, other Erinaceinae by triangles, and Galericinae by circles. 1) Legetet Formation, Hiwegi Formation and Kapurtay Agglomerates; Kenya. 2) Kulu Formation and Karungu; Kenya. 3) Maboko; Kenya. 4) Koru Formation; Kenya. 5) Sperrgebiet localities; Namibia. 6) Beni Mellal; Morocco. 7) Pataniak 6; Morocco. 8) Azdal 1, 3, 6 and 7; Morocco. 9) Berg Aukas I; Namibia. 10) Arrisdrift; Namibia. 11) Amama 1 and 2, Argoub Kamellal 1, Bab el Ahmar, El Hiout, Guergour Ferroudi and Smendou 6; Algeria. 12) Sidi Ounis; Tunisia. 13) Bou Hanifia 5, Koudiet el Tine and Sidi Salem; Algeria. 14) Oued Zra; Morocco. 15) Afoud 6, Asif Assermo and Oued Tabia; Morocco. 16) Amama 3; Algeria. Data are based on Engesser (1980), Ameur (1984), Butler (1984, 2010), Mein & Pickford (2003, 2008), Stoetzel (2013), Zouhri *et al.* (2017) and this work.
According to Robinson et al. (1982) the Turolian locality of Sidi Ounis (MDM locality, Tunisia) yielded a few teeth attributed to them to Schizogalerix ?moedlingensis. The Sidi Ounis specimens plot outside the metric range of variation of S. moedlingensis, and fit much better with S. macedonica, considered as belonging to the same lineage as S. moedlingensis by Doukas et al. (1995).

Robinson et al. (1982) mentioned the presence of a third cuspule on the lingual side of the M1/, between the protocone and the hypocone. This corresponds to a peculiar diagnostic feature of S. macedonica. Also, the trigonid of m/2 is not narrowed, compared to the trend observed in Turkish species (Furió et al. 2014). Despite this, it has to be noted that the extra cuspid found near the entoconid of m/1 and m/2 in S. macedonica and usually in S. zapfei is not described in Sidi Ounis lower molars. This lack also occurs in specimens from Pikermi (Greece) identified as S. zapfei by Rümke (1976). Moreover, Furió et al. (2014) had the opportunity to study the late Vallesian material of Kastellios Hill (Crete Island) and also found similarities with S. macedonica and S. zapfei, although the first discovered M2/ from this locality was attributed to Schizogalerix sinapensis by Van der Made (1996). The new clues from Kastellios Hill and Sidi Ounis imply a southward dispersal of the European lineage. The material from Sidi Ounis is here considered as belonging to a form named Schizogalerix aff. macedonica.

Engesser (1980) identified Schizogalerix in Oued Zra (Morocco), Amama 1 and Amama 2 (Algeria). The description of the small samples from Oued Zra and Amama 1 seems to imply the persistence into the Vallesian of the late middle Miocene migrant from Pataniak 6. The locality Bou Hanifia 5 (Morocco) may help to understand better the Vallesian record of Schizogalerix but only an unmeasured M3/ has been reported (Ameur, 1984). Apart from this, the even bigger Schizogalerix nov. sp. (sensu Engesser, 1980) from the Turolian of Amama 2 is easily distinguished from all known species of the genus. It is clear that this material represents a new species that may have been derived from Schizogalerix aff. macedonica. Younger forms of Schizogalerix survived until the early Pliocene of Amama 3 (Algeria), where Galericinae are recorded for the last time in Africa (Stoetzel, 2013). Thus, at least two groups of Schizogalerix are identified in the late Miocene of Northern Africa and are related to two distinct dispersals. Finally, It is for now not possible to go further with the enigmatic fragment of M1/ found in the Lukeino Formation (Kenya, late Miocene) and attributed to an indeterminate Erinaceidae by Mein & Pickford (2006). A more southwards dispersal of the Galericini during the middle and late Miocene is considered to be unlikely.

While the ancestral Erinaceinae (Ampehechinus, Gymnurechinus, Mellalechinus) disappeared during the Miocene, they were not immediately replaced by the recent dry-adapted genera Atelerix and Paraechinus. Even if the hypothesis of Mein & Ginsburg (2002) is correct by considering the existence of Atelerix in the French late Miocene of La-Grive-Saint-Alban (which is still a matter of debate), only a late diversification of Atelerix and also Paraechinus can be supported. Bannikova et al. (2014) estimated by molecular dating that the split between recent species of the two genera took place about 2.5 Ma. Concerning Atelerix, it seems to be related to a series of allopatric isolation events in northern Africa (Velo-Antón et al. 2019). The exclusively African distribution of recent Atelerix suggests an entrance of this genus before this split, during the Pleistocene transition, which is at least supported by the Pleistocene material from Ahl Al Oughlam (Zouhri et al. 2017). In southern Africa, the presence of Atelerix is only attested by a skull from an upper Pleistocene cave deposit of South Africa (Broom, 1937) attributed to Atelerix major.

Conclusion

The reassignment of Berg Aukas I fossil hedgehog material supports the persistence of ancestral erinaceids in southern Africa during the middle Miocene while greatly weakening the idea of a more southern expansion of Galericinae. The few occurrences of Erinaceidae in Africa are mostly explained by a succession of dispersal events around the Mediterranean Basin during the early, middle and late Miocene while extant species seem to have emerged after the Plio-Pleistocene transition. Despite these clues, a large part of
Erinaceidae history in Africa still needs to be discovered.
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